

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,243	09/03/2003	Woo-Hyun Kim	041993-5232 2820	
9629	7590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			· VU, PHU	
•	SYLVANIA AVENUE NW TON, DC 20004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(A)			
Advisory Action	10/653,243	KIM, WOO-HYUN				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phu Vu	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre				
THE REPLY FILED 3/14/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	VANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejecti	The appropriate extension of final Office action; or (2) as on, even if timely filed, may be	fee under 37 s set forth in (b) reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	-	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an ex	cplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment contains subject matter that was not previously considered or examined thus additional search is required in order to make any comment regarding the patentability of the amended and newly submitted claims.

Andur Schelter ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER